

ODTUG 2010
Performance,
Scalability
& Security
Symposium

June 27, 2010
Washington, DC

Welcome!

- This is the 11th ODTUG Symposium
 - 1999-2004 - 5 Business Rules Symposiums
 - 2005 - Best Practices in Software Architecture Symposium
 - 2006 – Web Architecture Symposium
 - 2007 – Fusion Symposium
 - 2008 – Fusion Middleware Best Practices Symposium
 - Last Year – Web Architecture Symposium
 - Next Year – ???????
- Today's presentations will include:
 - Multiple topics related to performance, scalability & security
 - Ask-the-Experts Panel

Thank You

- ❖ ODTUG
- ❖ Oracle Corporation
- ❖ Your Conference Connection (YCC)



Symposium Agenda

- 8:30-8:45 AM – **Introduction/Overview**
 - **Dr. Paul Dorsey - Dulcian, Inc.**
- 8:45-9:45 AM – **Performance Tuning Web Applications**
 - **Dr. Paul Dorsey & Michael Rosenblum – Dulcian, Inc.**
- 9:45-10:45 AM – **Oracle Data Mining 11g: Overview, Demos, ExaData and Road Map**
 - **Charlie Berger, Oracle Corporation**
- 10:45-11:00 AM - **BREAK**
- 11:00 AM -12:00 Noon – **WebLogic Server Application Security – Implementing the Superstition in JDeveloper**
 - **Peter Koletzke – Quovera**
 - **Duncan Mills – Oracle Corporation**

Noon – 1:00PM **LUNCH**


- 1:00-2:00 PM – **Messed Up Apps: A Study of Performance Anti-Patterns**
 - **Cary Millsap – Method R**
- 2:00-3:00 PM – **Take a Load Off: Load Testing Your Web Applications: Oracle APEX, JDeveloper, Web Services, etc.**
 - **Chris Muir – SAGE Computing Services**
- 3:00-3:15 PM - **BREAK**
- 3:15-4:00 PM
Ask the Experts Panel

Performance Tuning Web Applications

Dr. Paul Dorsey & Michael Rosenblum

Dulcian, Inc.

www.dulcian.com




June 27, 2010

Why Performance Tuning Fails

- ◆ We are solving the wrong problem.
- ◆ Tuning:
 - Usually makes the database run better.
 - Focuses on poorly running SQL.
- ◆ Web applications are frequently unaffected by these performance improvement approaches.
- ◆ Need to examine the entire system, not just the database.




Web Application Architecture




Tuning here is not enough

Steps 1 & 9 - Client



- ◆ Unlikely source of problems.
 - Should not be dismissed entirely.
 - Using AJAX architectures, it is possible to place so much code in the client that a significant amount of time is required before the request is transmitted to the application server.
- ◆ Beware of underpowered client machines with inadequate memory and slow processors.


Step 2 - Client to Application Server



- ◆ Less common cause of performance problems
- ◆ Transmitting large amounts of information over the Internet may cause problems.
 - Uploading large files
 - Transmitting a large block of data




Steps 3 & 7 Application Server Processing Performance Problems




- ◆ Processing can be resource-intensive.
- ◆ Java programmers minimize database application logic in the middle tier.
- ◆ Complex data manipulation can be handled much more efficiently with database code.
 - Thick database approach is the key to efficiently performing web applications.

Step 4 - Application Server to Database




- ◆ Not instantaneous (but really fast)
- ◆ High number of transmission requests are the #1 cause of performance problems.
- ◆ Database-independence is not a good idea.
 - Single request from a client may require many requests from the application server to the database in order to fulfill.
- ◆ Examine and measure the number of round-trips from application server to database.

Step 5 - Database Performance Problems



- ◆ Use traditional tuning.
- ◆ Beware of stateless implementation.
 - Information pertaining to a particular session must be retrieved at the beginning of every request and persistently stored at the end of every request.
 - Single table may generate massive I/O
 - Redo logs
 - Block contention


Step 6 - Database to Application Server Transmission Problems



6. Return Data from DB to App Server

- ◆ Rare problem
- ◆ Beware of unnecessary data movement.
 - One record is needed and the whole table is sent.

Step 8 - Application Server to Client Transmission Problems




8. Return data from App Server to client

- ◆ #2 cause of performance problems
- ◆ Keep pages small.
 - Not too many fields
 - Not too much AJAX or JavaScript
 - Not too big a tree
 - Not too much data in a scrolling block
 - No images, or other unnecessary information
- ◆ Measure size of page.

Locating Slow Performance Causes

- ◆ Embed timers into a system to detect where in the nine possible steps the application performance is degrading.
- ◆ Strategically placed timers will indicate how much time is spent at any one of the steps in the total process.




Common Causes of Performance Problems

- ◆ The most common causes of slow system performance are:
 - 1. Excessive round-trips from the application server to the database
 - 2. Large pages sent to the client
 - 3. Performing operations in the application server that should be done in the database
 - 4. Poorly written SQL and PL/SQL routines



Measuring Performance




Timing Language Elements

- ◆ *Command*: Atomic part of the process (any command on any tier)
- ◆ *Step*: Complete processing cycle in one direction (always one-way)
 - Can either be a communication step between one tier and another, or a set of steps within the same tier.
 - Step consists of a number of commands.
- ◆ *Request*: Action consisting of a number of steps. A request is passed between different processing tiers.
- ◆ *Round-trip*: Complete cycle from the moment the request leaves the tier to the point when it comes back with some response information.

System Tuning for 3-tier Application (with numbers!)

9-step or
5 round-
trip
structure



Actions in 5 Round-Trip Structure

Client Level


- ◆ 1. From request initiation to end of processing
 - User clicks button
 - Response is displayed
- ◆ 2. From request to application server to response receipt
 - Start of servlet call
 - End of servlet call

Application Level

- ◆ 3. From request acceptance to moment it is sent back
 - Start of processing in servlet
 - End of processing in servlet
- ◆ 4. From request sent to database
 - Start of JDBC call
 - End of JDBC call

Database Level

- ◆ 5. From request acceptance to sending back the response
 - Start block
 - End of block



Review

Topics Covered

1. Steps in web application process
2. Places where performance can suffer
3. Measuring performance

Still to discuss

1. SQL tuning
2. Application server / database communication tuning
3. Managing persistent layer

SQL Tuning: REMEMBER!!!

- ◆ 1. Use bind variables.
- ◆ 2. Use bind variables.
- ◆ 3. Use bind variables.
- ◆ 4. Use bind variables.
- ◆ 5. Use bind variables.
- ◆ 6. Use bind variables.
- ◆ 7. Use bind variables.

© Tom Kyte

- ◆ 1. Don't build SQL in JAVA.
- ◆ 2. Don't build SQL in JAVA.
- ◆ 3. Don't build SQL in JAVA.
- ◆ 4. Don't build SQL in JAVA.
- ◆ 5. Don't build SQL in JAVA.
- ◆ 6. Don't build SQL in JAVA.
- ◆ 7. Don't build SQL in JAVA.

© M. Rosenblum

Simple Case

◆ The problem:

- Value lists are explicitly hard-coded across the system
 - Difficult to determine what exactly is used
 - Hard to maintain
 - Data-dependent (cannot be cached)

◆ The solution – single tuning point!

- Universal Value List Builder



Universal Value List (1)

- ◆ Specify exactly what is needed as output and declare the corresponding collection:

```
Create type lov_oty is object  
    (id_nr NUMBER,  
     display_tx VARCHAR2(256));
```

```
Create type lov_nt  
    as table of lov_oty;
```


Universal Value List (2)

- ◆ Write a PL/SQL function to hide all required logic:

```
function f_getLov_nt
(i_table_tx,i_id_tx,i_display_tx,i_order_tx)
return lov_nt is
    v_out_nt lov_nt := lov_nt();
begin
    execute immediate
        'select lov_oty('
            ||i_id_tx||','||i_display_tx||
            ')'||
        ' from '||i_table_tx||
        ' order by '||i_order_tx
    bulk collect into v_out_nt;
    return v_out_nt;
end;
```

Universal Value List (3)

- ◆ Test SQL statement with the following code:

```
select id_nr, display_tx
from table(
    cast(f_getLov_nt
        (:1, -- 'emp'
         :2, -- 'empno'
         :3, -- 'ename || ' ' -
         ' ' || job'
         :4  -- 'ename \
        )
    as lov_nt)
)
```

Complex Case

◆ The problem:

- Users upload CSV-files
 - Name of file defines type
 - Column headers map directly to table columns.
 - One row of file could mean multiple inserts



◆ Wrong solution

- Parse file in the middle-tier and build inserts.

◆ Right solution:

- Load file to the database as CLOB.
- Build all inserts in the database.

Build Inserts

Declare

```
type integer_tt is table of integer;
```

```
v_cur_tt integer_tt;
```

Begin

```
for r in v_groupRow_tt.first..v_groupRow_tt.last loop
```

```
v_cur_tt(r) := DBMS_SQL.OPEN_CURSOR;
```

```
for c in c_cols(v_mapRows_tt(r)) loop
```

```
for i in v_header_tt.first..v_header_tt.last loop
```

```
if v_header_tt(i).text=c.name_tx then
```

```
    v_col_tt(i):=c;
```

```
    v_col_tx:=v_col_tx||','||v_col_tt(i).viewcol_tx;
```

```
    v_val_tx:=v_val_tx||','||v_col_tt(i).viewcol_tx;
```

```
end if;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
end loop;
```

```
v_sql_tx:='insert into '||v_map_rec.view_tx||
```

```
 '('||v_col_tx||') values('||v_value_tx||')';
```

```
DBMS_SQL.PARSE(v_cur_tt(r),v_sql_tx,DBMS_SQL.NATIVE);
```

```
end loop;
```

```
for i in 2..v_row_tt.count
loop
  for r in
v_groupRow_tt.first..v_groupRow_tt.last
  loop
    for c in v_col_tt.first..v_col_tt.last
    loop
      if v_col_tt(c).id = v_mapRows_tt(r) then
        DBMS_SQL.BIND_VARIABLE(v_cur_tt(r),
          ':'||v_col_tt(c).viewcol_tx,
          v_data_tt(c).text);
      end if;
    end loop;
    v_nr:=dbms_sql.execute(v_cur_tt(r));
  end loop;
end loop;
```

Application Server / Database

- ◆ Critical success factor – managing database sessions:
 - Almost impossible to have one session per connection
 - Cost of opening/closing sessions is high.
- ◆ Opportunity:
 - Total number of physical sessions at any point in time is fairly small.
- ◆ Good idea:
 - Create connection pool with a fixed number of connections (using Autoextend option).
 - Serve them to incoming requests as needed.
- ◆ Problems:
 - A single physical session can serve requests from different logical sessions at different points in time.
 - Cannot trust **ANYTHING** defined at the session level.



Connection Pooling (1)

◆ Packaged variables cleanup

```
begin  
    dbms_session.reset_package;  
    dbms_session.free_unused_user_memory;  
end;
```



Connection Pooling (2)

◆ Temporary tables cleanup

```
procedure p_truncate is
  v_exist_yn varchar2(1);
Begin
  select 'Y' into v_exist_yn
  from v$$session s, v$$tempseg_usage u
  where s.audsid = SYS_CONTEXT('USERENV','SESSIONID')
  and s.saddr = u.session_addr
  and u.segtype = 'DATA'
  and rownum = 1;
  for c in (select table_name from user_table
            where temporary = 'Y'
            and duration = 'SYS$SESSION') loop
    execute immediate 'truncate table '||c.table_name;
  end loop;
end;
```



Managing Persistent Layer

◆ Client/Server

- Temporary table with supporting information (one row per session)
- Read - from support area.
- Write – via the engine:
 - Get action from the application
 - Modify support area
 - Send response to the application

◆ Reason

- Eliminates about 75% of repeated requests

◆ Web - idea

- Create persistent table
 - Add session ID
- Estimate system could slow down 3-5%

◆ Web - real life

- 50%-200% slower (only at peak times)
- Workload limit after which the whole system started to fall apart



Why is performance affected? (1)

◆ Database running in ARCHIVELOG

- All DML against SUPPORT table recorded
- Filled up about 85% of all logs!



◆ All support changes must be persistent.

- Extra COMMITS occurred
- LOG FILE SYNC wait event count skyrocketed

◆ Table had primary key (ID from a sequence)

- Due to DML activity from hundreds of sessions, every 15 minutes, the database logged a deadlock.
- Very high contention on some index blocks

Why is performance affected? (2)

◆ Cumulative heavy I/O load



- Individual requests take more time.
- Sessions were not released from connection pool fast enough.
- Total number of simultaneous sessions is 4 times more than estimated.

◆ Each session used more memory, more temporary segments, etc.

- Slowed down the system even more
- Especially true for I/O operations (since there were more simultaneous requests).
- Quickly spirals into a slow-down and eventual stoppage of the system

Why is performance affected? (3)

- ◆ Database resources quickly became over-utilized just by making a table persistent with a session key.
- ◆ Two core issues:
 - 1. How to decrease I/O?
 - 2. How to resolve index contention?



Solution

- ◆ Create a separate database instance
 - New instance runs in NOARCHIVELOG mode
 - New instance has only one schema.
 - That schema contains only one table: SUPPORT INFO
 - SUPPORT INFO table is hash-partitioned by session ID (1024 partitions)
 - All indexes are local.
- ◆ Main schema has a database link and synonym
 - Everything appears as though nothing has changed.
 - All requests to the support table must include session ID (to use local indexes).
 - Some rewrite was required to enforce this rule.


Result

- ◆ System ran as fast as originally predicted.
 - Extra waits caused by data cases via DBLink were negligible (less than 0.01/request - average of 3000 requests/hour).
 - No time lost writing logs
 - Less I/O → less sessions → less resources used → less waits → faster response → less sessions ...
- ◆ Using a large number of partitions, less chances of creating a “hot block”, since all indexes were local.
- ◆ Lessons learned:
 - In the Oracle environment, everything is linked together.
 - Any changes can lead to a “domino effect.”



Conclusions

- ◆ Keep all nine of the potential areas for encountering performance problems in mind.
- ◆ Investigate each one carefully to discover ways in which performance can be improved.
- ◆ It is not just the database.








Dulcian's BRIM[®] Environment

- ◆ Full business rules-based development environment
- ◆ For Demo
 - Write “BRIM” on business card
- ◆ Dulcian Vendor Presentation
 - “Build Amazing Web 2.0 Applications using only PL/SQL”
 - Tuesday June 29th at 9:45AM in Delaware B



Contact Information

- ◆ Dr. Paul Dorsey – paul_dorsey@dulcian.com
- ◆ Michael Rosenblum – mrosenblum@dulcian.com
- ◆ Dulcian website - www.dulcian.com



Latest book:
Oracle PL/SQL for Dummies

